

SUNDAY, AUGUST 4, 1878.

The regular circulation of THE SUN for the week ending Aug. 3, 1878, was:

Sunday	110,501	78,965
Monday	110,443	78,965
Tuesday	110,443	78,965
Wednesday	110,443	78,965
Thursday	110,443	78,965
Friday	110,443	78,965
Saturday	110,443	78,965
Total for the week	773,998	550,088

A Friendly Challenge.

The Herald of yesterday contains the following passage:

"We have to have reason to believe, that the daily circulation of the Herald is larger than that of any other daily newspaper in the United States."

We believe and have reason to believe that our esteemed contemporary is mistaken. But, by way of testing the accuracy of our esteemed contemporary's belief, we propose that the actual daily circulation of the Herald and that of THE DAILY SUN for May, June, and July, 1878, shall be ascertained by a committee consisting of Messrs. BRADSTREET, JACKSON, GORDON, JONES, and DAVID M. BROWN. They shall have access to all the books, papers, and offices of the two establishments, in order to ascertain all the facts, and shall employ their own methods of ascertaining them; and their report shall be published, the day after it is filed, at the head of the editorial columns in each paper. If the Herald is thus proved to have had a larger daily circulation than THE SUN during the three months in question, we will immediately pay one thousand dollars into the treasury of the Metropolitan Museum of Art; and if THE SUN is found to have had a larger circulation than the Herald, then Mr. BENNETT shall pay one thousand dollars into that treasury.

Famine and Plenty.

While we are engaged in harvesting perhaps the largest crops with which the country has ever been blessed, destructive famines and droughts are prevailing in other parts of the earth and bringing misery and death to millions of people. The famine of India, in 1872 and 1873, which followed the failure of the rice crop, was one of the most extensive and appalling of which we have any record, but the vigorous measures of relief instituted and carried out by the British Government greatly lessened its disastrous effects and finally enabled the country it afflicted to overcome the enemy.

The terrible famine which is now devastating densely populated provinces of China, however, has for about a year been left to pursue its course, with little to check the progress beyond the operation of natural causes. The local Government and the Imperial Government have offered some relief to the distressed people, but it has been of comparatively small amount. Aid has also been furnished by private benevolence, but that has gone a very little way toward alleviating the shocking misery which is visiting the victims of one of the direst famines that ever occurred.

The seat of the famine is in the northeastern provinces of the empire, the impoverished country consisting of the greater part of the Province of Shanxi, parts of southwestern Chih, western Shantung, and the northern districts of Honan, comprising an area variously estimated at from seventy thousand to a hundred thousand square miles, inhabited by from fifty millions to a hundred millions of people. Happily, the latest news from Hong Kong, up to July 14, reports that the rains, which had already begun at the time of the first previous accounts to alleviate the distress, were then continuing and reviving the hopes of the famished people, who at length could hail the prospect of a fair harvest. Meantime, however, the suffering continues, and it must last for a long time yet.

The greatest distress has been in the southern half of Shanxi, including the provincial capital, Tai Yuen, and the prefecture in which that city is situated, comprising a population from 1,000,000 to about 1,600,000. In an appeal for aid addressed to the Imperial Government last March by the Governor of Honan and the commissioner for famine relief of that province, the history of the calamity was briefly told. For several years in succession the region had suffered from a severe drought, which finally culminated in a famine of enormous dimensions and extent, the result of which was that last autumn came with almost no crops at all, the people who were already dreadfully impoverished, began to suffer bitterly and generally for lack of food, and before winter was over the number of those in need of relief increased so rapidly that at last they numbered millions. The lower, or rather poorer classes, of course, were the first to feel the effects of the calamity, and they abandoned their homes by thousands, in the search for subsistence in regions not so afflicted. But by spring the famine had also attacked the well-to-do and the wealthy, who were soon reduced to the same dire condition. In the early period of the distress the living fed upon the bodies of the dead; next the strong leaved the weak, and at last the famished wretches ate up those of their own flesh and blood. At the time of the memorial, the local sources of supplies of food were entirely exhausted, the granaries were empty, the treasury was drained dry, and the few wealthy people in the provinces had impoverished themselves by their contributions and loans to help the awful distress.

Letters from foreign missionaries received at Shanghai, which is not seven hundred miles from the afflicted district, testify to the extent of the distress. The sturdy Chinese peasants do not fold their hands, as the inhabitants of Madras did during their great famine, but they make a wild fight for life, and if they cannot find the dead ready at hand to eat, they do not hesitate in their frenzy to butcher the living to satisfy their cravings. The Chinese newspapers give the number of people who have died of starvation or been killed to appease the hunger of the living at over five millions.

Droughts have also done serious injury in Egypt, Australia, Morocco, and Spain, and the ravages of the locusts in Madras have been so extensive that the Government of the province has telegraphed for extra reinforcements of troops. The United States Consul at Fanzig reports the presence of a terrible famine in southern Morocco, due to the long prevailing drought. He speaks of the suffering among the people as indescribable, and says the cattle are dying off by thousands. Cows are driven into Tangier and sold for a dollar. It is estimated that in Australia, during the droughts of last summer and this summer, 90,000 sheep perished. From Egypt we hear that the cotton crop is expected to be deficient in both quantity and quality.

Monitions we have the promise in our nation-growing States of a crop of this great staple which will exceed even that of the year 1859, the richest they had then ever known. The estimated production of cotton in 1859 was about four millions of bales, while this year it is confidently expected

The Proposed Euphrates Valley Railway.

Whatever may be the political results of the Anglo-Turkish treaty, it seems likely to give considerable stimulus to the commercial development of Asia Minor. That the rates of house rent in Beirut should have at once gone up attests what deduction Levantine traders have been prompt to draw from the British occupation of Cyprus. To them the new state of things implied an influx of British capital into the Sultan's Asiatic dominions, and facts were not long wanting to justify the inference. We were presently told that a railway traversing Anatolia from the coast opposite Cyprus to Trebizond on the Black sea had been already projected, more, however, for strategic than commercial purposes; and now we hear that at a meeting of London capitalists it was resolved to organize forthwith the survey of a route for a transcontinental line from the head of the Persian gulf to some Levantine seaport by way of the Euphrates valley.

When we call to mind that the most opulent and populous countries of the ancient world—Assyria, Babylonia, Mesopotamia—are all subject to the control of the Porte, and would more or less directly be thrown open to trade by a Euphrates railway, we can recognize the importance of the enterprise. So long ago as 1856, when the Sultan, after the close of the Crimean war, began his career of wholesale borrowing, it was proposed to unlock this fertile region by an iron road from Bassorah across the whole empire of the Osmanli in Asia to Scutari upon the Bosphorus. But the proceeds of the colossal loans were embezzled by the Pashas, or absorbed by their master's building mania and less reputable caprices, so that no part of the great Anatolian Railway was completed, except a first section of some sixty miles from Scutari to Tamlid. This, with the two lines from Smyrna to Adina and Alachoeir, which aggregate about 170 miles, and a short road intended to connect Broussa, the old Turkish capital, with the harbor town of Mondiana on the Euxine, constitute the whole length of track at present constructed or under way within Asiatic Turkey.

Long before the completion of the Suez canal, however, English statesmen had felt the necessity of seeking a route between London and Calcutta shorter than that by the Cape of Good Hope, which exacted some millions. Nearly a century ago Lord WELLESLEY organized a bimonthly mail service by boats from Bombay to Basorah, from which city despatches were conveyed by Arabs mounted on dromedaries to Aleppo, to be thence carried by Tartars on horseback to Constantinople. This method of communication, being at once prompt and precarious, was after a time abandoned; but for forty years English engineers and superior officers have been studying a mode of transit which, starting from some point on the Syrian coast, should strike the Euphrates or Tigris, and following the channel of one or the other river, about at Basorah, or at the Persian Gulf, to the Red Sea. Heretofore, we may say, by way of parenthesis, the distance from Antioch in a straight line via Aleppo to the nearest point of the upper Euphrates is only about 190 miles. During the years 1835-36 COL. CHENEY executed a complete survey of the Euphrates valley and contiguous countries, and in 1857 he obtained from the Porte a concession for an iron road from the Persian gulf to the mouth of the Orontes on the Mediterranean, the Turkish Government guaranteeing 5 per cent. interest on the sum invested. COL. CHENEY's scheme miscarried, but his fundamental idea gradually took hold of the public mind, and even after the piercing of the Suez isthmus, a direct route between India and the Levant retained so much importance in the eyes of statesmen, that the House of Commons ordered a special commission, of which Sir STAFFORD NORTHCOTE was chairman, to study the several projects which had been suggested. The report of this commission, which was printed in 1872, named five different routes as particularly worthy of attention, four of which start from Alexandria, and follow some of the valleys of the Euphrates, others that of the Tigris, the former route being obviously superior, but the latter, according to the commission, traversing more populous and fruitful regions. The cost of the road, as estimated at that time—sixty years ago—was fifty millions of dollars. It was further demonstrated that the railway, as compared with the Suez route, would abridge the time of transport from London to Bombay at least four, and probably not less than seven or eight days. Notwithstanding, however, the favorable report of the commission, the English Government seemed to renounce provisionally the project of the plan.

It is evident that for twenty years since the concession was granted to COL. CHENEY the wretched population of Anatolia have watched for the *kara papur*, or "black smoke," for the coming of a Messiah. The tax collector has constantly held before their eyes, by way of promise and consolation, the iron road which the Commander of the Faithful had conferred upon his subjects. But of late the fulfillment of the promise has seemed to be deferred by the Sultan's bankruptcy to an extremely remote future. Indeed, it required nothing less than a war with shock to the foundations of the Ottoman power, and the most fearful stroke of statecraft by which RACONSTANTINOPOLIS was poised to England, to make the scheme of a Euphrates Valley Railroad at last practically and probable.

One of the striking phenomena of the time is the revolution in the attitude of cultivated Englishmen toward the Roman Catholic Church. The large, candid, sympathetic spirit which MACARTNEY was among the first to exhibit, and which has gradually infused a singular mildness into the tone of polemical discussion, seems to culminate in an article communicated by the English apostle of sweetness and light to the current number of the *Fortnightly Review*. The specific object of this paper is to dissuade English Liberals from further opposition to the foundation of a Catholic university in Ireland, but in the course of his plea MATTHEW ARNOLD is led to make some interesting remarks concerning the essential qualities and the prospects of Catholicism.

This essayist, who may perhaps be called the most perfect exponent of English culture, begins by saying that "it is curious how few British Liberals have in common with Continental Radicals. The mistake consists in always regarding what is accidental, mischievous, impossible in Catholicism, rather than what is natural, amiable, likely to endure. While it may be no cure for the bondage and misery of the world to be told that the Pope is infallible, or that miracles happen, yet a wonderful alleviation has been found for some two thousand years to be in the world, the character, and the influence of Jesus, and we are urged to fix our view upon this simple source, common to Catholics and Protestants, of Christianity's power and permanence. Greeks, Catholics, and Protestants alike have adapted the source to their use according to lights often imperfect, have carried its healing waters by strange conduits, and piled around it fantastic superstructures. But, after their fashion, all have used it, and whenever their faith is treated, because of their misanthropy, as an obsolete nuisance, to be wiped out, a profound sentiment within them rebels against the outrage, because they are conscious, not so much of their religion's outward disfigurements, as of its essential benignity.

Undoubtedly, says Mr. ARNOLD, Catholicism is the form of Christianity which is fullest of human negotiations and superstitions, because it is the oldest, largest,

had been committed by men, who were ultimately frightened away by the return of the family. Miss HERSHEN's own account of the occurrence was that on entering the house she sat down at the piano and struck a few notes, when she heard a voice. She turned around to the door. There stood two men. They were masked. Great black cloths were tied around their heads. She was too frightened to scream, but tried to reach the window. One of the two men, whom she describes as "the tall man," came toward her. She dodged behind the table. He advanced to the door, and soon succeeded. Seizing her by the arm, he held her, with his back to her, and put a sponge to her face. She could not say what was on the sponge. She pushed it away twice, when she became dreamy "and unconscious like," and had the same feeling a person has who goes to sleep against her will. She remembered being lifted from the floor, and that was the last she remembered until she came to.

The case was involved in the deepest mystery until the 20th ultimo, when Miss HERSHEN was sitting at her window. A gentleman was passing by. Suddenly Miss HERSHEN called out to her sister: "LIZZIE, there is a man who resembles in every way the tall man who assaulted me on the Fourth of July. Who is he?" The tall man, who was with her, replied that he had proved to be one DR. MILLAR, a foreigner, who, for a short time, had practiced medicine in Milton, but had relinquished practice and was boarding at a private house a few miles from the village.

On the strength of this identification, DR. MILLAR was arrested, and on the first day of this month was arraigned before a Justice of the Peace at Milton, charged with assault with intent to violate the person. Miss HERSHEN, under oath, expressed the opinion very firmly that he was the man who assaulted her. She particularly noticed his height; his thin face, the shape of which the black mask did not wholly conceal; his small feet, and delicate hand. She was entirely confident he was the man. Miss HERSHEN's sister, Mrs. HARNBROCK, confirmed Miss HERSHEN's statement about her first recognition of DR. MILLAR in the street, when suddenly, for some unexplained reason, the testimony for the prosecution was brought to a close.

The Justice thereupon discharged DR. MILLAR, on the ground that the evidence that Miss HERSHEN had been found on the floor, with her clothes stripped off, had not been put in, and the prosecution refused to put it in. The counsel for DR. MILLAR made the point that the binding and chloroforming of a woman was no evidence of an intent to violate the person, as those might have been done for the purpose of robbery, and the Justice considered the point well taken. DR. MILLAR himself denounced the whole thing as a conspiracy to ruin him, and professed to have ample evidence to establish an alibi.

On all these facts it may be remarked that if the original outrage on Miss HERSHEN was strange and mysterious, those latest proceedings are no less so. The Justice might certainly have held DR. MILLAR for simple assault had he been charged with the assault, but it is proper to hold him, without the evidence of the condition in which Miss HERSHEN was found. But why was not this evidence put in? What was the cause of the sudden break in the progress of the prosecution?

A special telegram to the *World* of yesterday represents DR. HARNBROCK as saying that he is heartily sick of the whole matter. But it is too late for DR. HARNBROCK to be allowed to get sick of it and relinquish it now. The terrible accusation against DR. MILLAR should be sustained or withdrawn, and specifically. The public have an interest in the affair now, and they have rights which none of the parties at this stage of the proceedings can be permitted to disregard. Our courts are not established to afford opportunity for charges like that against DR. MILLAR to be lightly preferred. If there was not a good foundation for his arrest, it was atrocious to make it; and if there was a good foundation, all the evidence should have been put in, and he should have been afforded ample opportunity to refute it.

We have seen no intimation from any quarter, that Miss HERSHEN's testimony is not entitled to be considered unless she is really laboring under a delusion. The detective employed by DR. HARNBROCK advances the theory, seemingly as ridiculous as it is monstrous, that the plan was to murder Miss HERSHEN, and sell her beautiful body for dissection!

It is to be hoped that the deep mystery hanging over the whole transaction, from the beginning to its present stage, will soon be cleared away, in some manner, and all the facts, as they really exist, be brought to light.

One of the striking phenomena of the time is the revolution in the attitude of cultivated Englishmen toward the Roman Catholic Church. The large, candid, sympathetic spirit which MACARTNEY was among the first to exhibit, and which has gradually infused a singular mildness into the tone of polemical discussion, seems to culminate in an article communicated by the English apostle of sweetness and light to the current number of the *Fortnightly Review*. The specific object of this paper is to dissuade English Liberals from further opposition to the foundation of a Catholic university in Ireland, but in the course of his plea MATTHEW ARNOLD is led to make some interesting remarks concerning the essential qualities and the prospects of Catholicism.

This essayist, who may perhaps be called the most perfect exponent of English culture, begins by saying that "it is curious how few British Liberals have in common with Continental Radicals. The mistake consists in always regarding what is accidental, mischievous, impossible in Catholicism, rather than what is natural, amiable, likely to endure. While it may be no cure for the bondage and misery of the world to be told that the Pope is infallible, or that miracles happen, yet a wonderful alleviation has been found for some two thousand years to be in the world, the character, and the influence of Jesus, and we are urged to fix our view upon this simple source, common to Catholics and Protestants, of Christianity's power and permanence. Greeks, Catholics, and Protestants alike have adapted the source to their use according to lights often imperfect, have carried its healing waters by strange conduits, and piled around it fantastic superstructures. But, after their fashion, all have used it, and whenever their faith is treated, because of their misanthropy, as an obsolete nuisance, to be wiped out, a profound sentiment within them rebels against the outrage, because they are conscious, not so much of their religion's outward disfigurements, as of its essential benignity.

Undoubtedly, says Mr. ARNOLD, Catholicism is the form of Christianity which is fullest of human negotiations and superstitions, because it is the oldest, largest,

THE SUN, SUNDAY, AUGUST 4, 1878.

most popular. The bulk of its superlatives come from its having really plunged so far down into the multitude and spread so wide among them. If this is a cause of error, Mr. ARNOLD insists that it is also a cause of attachment. We are reminded that Catholicism has in such sort enveloped human life, that Catholics feel themselves to have drawn not their religion only, but with it their art and poetry and culture, from the Church. He shows how a second hold was acquired upon the popular imagination from the fact that the Roman Catholic Church, rightly stamped with the character of a religious and beneficent authority springing up amid anarchy, next appeared as disclosing a career where birth was disregarded and physical force unheeded, and intellectual and moral worth esteemed in the midst of the iron feudal age. It is further suggested by the essayist that if there is anything essentially alien to religion, it is divisions; while if there is anything congenial, it is peace and union. Hence, in his opinion, the original attraction toward unity in Rome, and the sovereign fascination for men's minds of that unity when attained. Such are the specific spells for the imagination which, according to MATTHEW ARNOLD, Catholicism has for Catholics. In addition to the charm and power which he considers common to all forms of Christianity.

Of course, no disinterested and judicious observer, writing from an Anglican and Liberal point of view, would seek to deny or to disguise, in the case of the Catholic Church, the accretions and superstitions gathered round the curative religious germ. After referring to the temptations and dangers which the system of the Roman hierarchy has carried with it in the past, Mr. ARNOLD dwells at length on a salient difficulty of our time, namely, the inevitable drift, as the individuality of European nations has ripened, toward a collision between national unity and systems of despotism. These hindrances which he would have English Liberals combat, not forgetting, however, that to the mass of Catholics they present themselves by a good side, and not a bad one. Moreover, in a modern civilization they meet with natural counteractions of great power, such as the diffusion of education, the control of a constitutional government, the vigilance and the authority of public opinion.

The essayist believes that the national sense can be relied on to assert itself against that dependence on government of foreigners which Ultramontane systems are desirous of bringing with them. In his judgment, too, religion itself, like human society, follows a law of growth, which in a sound and progressive community may be trusted to clear away accumulated superfluities.

But when what Mr. ARNOLD describes as Ultramontanism, Sacerdotalism, and Superstition are gone, what, he asks, is left to Catholicism beyond the germ of stimulus and solace which it possesses in common with the Protestant forms of Christianity? This of course is the root of the matter, but MATTHEW ARNOLD holds that the Catholic Church is left with a mighty power in the sun, in the beauty, the richness, the infinite charm for the imagination of its age-long growth. It is not in its dogma, and the confident assertion of dogma, that the English philosopher would place the source of strength and permanence to the Catholic Church, but in its poetry. Yet he does not hesitate to avow his conviction that from this source of superiority Catholicism has before it a great future—that it will endure while all the Protestant sects, in which for some unassigned reason he does not include the Church of England, dissolve and perish.

Centralization—Mr. Hewitt's Committee.
MR. ABRAHAM S. HEWITT is Chairman of the Committee to investigate the causes of business and industrial depression, which was appointed at the last session of the House of Representatives, and is now holding its sessions in this city. The occupation, just at present, of this committee, is listening to complaints from workmen, Socialists, Communists, and professional reformers.

MR. ROBERT L. BARTHOLOMEW, a delegate of the Socialistic Labor Party, so called, was before the commission on Friday. MR. BARTHOLOMEW expressed the opinion that the centralized Government should be let alone, and that the United States Government, he said, "should investigate all factories, and inquire into the condition of the persons employed." "The United States Constitution should be so amended that if the States did not enforce the law in regard to education and labor, the Federal Government should be able to do so."

Those doctrines are denounced as revolutionary. But in one respect it seems to us that MR. BARTHOLOMEW's views are much more conservative than those of MR. HEWITT and the House of Representatives. MR. BARTHOLOMEW understands and concedes that there would require a change of the Constitution to give the Government jurisdiction over such matters; whereas MR. HEWITT thinks that the Government has jurisdiction under the Constitution as it is; else whence this committee?

MR. BARTHOLOMEW is in favor of establishing centralization. MR. HEWITT seems to go further, and to consider centralization already established.

The *New York Herald*—JOHN RUSSELL YOUNG—came out yesterday, in favor of Gen. GRANT for a third term.

In another column we print an article on the collapse of the Cuban revolution, from *El Comercio*, the newspaper organ of the Government of Peru. Its tenor may not be flattering to our countrymen, but it is true. As a country we are justly held responsible by other nations for the misadventure of the Peruvian revolution, properly entitled to read as this lesson on our apathetic shortcomings, for she alone, of all American republics, not only defied Spain by recognizing formally the independence of Cuba, but in her very embarrassing financial position she still managed to help Cuba with some material profits of sympathy.

The rifle contests between teams from Great Britain and the United States were quite as much tests of weapons as of marksmanship. The English people long denied that American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the water. The test in three international matches in which American marksmen were three times victorious settled the dispute in the minds of all observers here. The English rifle, we heard, was hard to shoot. But the recent annual contest at Wimbledon let out the secret that the riflemen themselves prefer the American-made gun. The ALBERT prize was won with a REMINGTON, the next best score being made with a SARGENT'S rifle, as was also the one that took this honor. Twelve rifles of American breech-loading rifles could be made to do such accurate shooting as did the muzzle loaders manufactured on their side of the